Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

1

STATE ELECTION

OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT

SOMERVILLE WD. 2, Pcts. 2, 3, WDs. 3, 5, 6

1158/1158

Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, connect the arrow to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and connect the arrow.

	The second second second	
ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT		CLERK OF COURTS
Vote for ONE JOHNSON and GRAY + + + + + + + + + + Libertarian	40 00	MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN
OBAMA and BIDEN ++++++++++ Democratic	4	42 Huron Ave., Cambridge DO NOT VOT
ROMNEY and RYAN +++++++++ Republican	4	USE BLANK LINE I
STEIN and HONKALA ++++++++ Green-Rainbow	4	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	4 4	REGISTER OF DEED
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		MARIA C. CURTATONE
		37 Munroe St., Somerville DO NOT VOT
SENATOR IN CONGRESS		USE BLANK LINE
SCOTT P. BROWN +++++++++++ Republican	40 00	
70 Hayden Woods, Wrentham Candidate for Re-election ELIZABETH A. WARREN +++++++++ Democratic	4 4	
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		SHERIFF
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	4 4	PETER J. KOUTOUJIAN
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		33 Harris St., Waltham ERNESTO M. PETRONI
		19 Waverly St., Everett DO NOT VOT USE BLANK LINE
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS		OSE BLANK LINE I
SEVENTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE MICHAEL E. CAPUANO ++++++++++ Democratic	4 4	
172 Central St., Somerville Candidate for Re-election KARLA ROMERO ++++++++++++++ Independent	4 4	
725 Tremont St., Boston DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		QUE LAW PR
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	4	INITIATION Do you approve of a law s
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		was taken by the Senate or
		before May 1, 2012?
COUNCILLOR		This proposed law wo
SIXTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE TERRENCE W. KENNEDY ++++++++Democratic	4 4	selling or leasing, eithe a new motor vehicle w
3 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		have access to the sam mation made available
	4 4	and in-state authorized The manufacturer wou
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		the owner's designated facility (one not affiliate
		authorized dealers), to
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT SECOND MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE		information electronic monthly, or yearly sub
PATRICIA D. JEHLEN ++++++++++Democratic	4 4	than fair market value unfairly favor dealers ar
67 Dane St., Somerville Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		The manufacturer wor the information through
	(= 4	interface, using a stand sions-control regulatio
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		have to include the same form and accessible in
		vided to the manufacturepair facilities.
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT		For vehicles manufa
DENISE PROVOST ++++++++++++Democratic	4	model year 2014, the p
20 Albion St., Somerville Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		Massachusetts to mak vehicle owners and in-s
	4 4	ities, the same diagnost the manufacturer make
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	Carried House	tronic system to its de

	Vote for ONE
MIDDLESEX COUNTY	
MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
42 Huron Ave., Cambridge DO NOT VOTE IN T	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW	
OUL BEARK EINE BEEGN	TON WHITE IN
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
	WHITE-IN SPACE UNLI
DECISTED OF DEEDS	
REGISTER OF DEEDS	Vote for ONE
MIDDLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT	Vote for ONE
MARIA C. CURTATONE +++	
MARIA C. CURTATONE + + + + - 37 Munroe St., Somerville	+ + + + + + + + + + Democratic
MARIA C. CURTATONE ++++ 37 Munroe St., Somerville DO NOT VOTE IN T	HIS SPACE.
MARIA C. CURTATONE + + + + - 37 Munroe St., Somerville	HIS SPACE.
MARIA C. CURTATONE ++++ 37 Munroe St., Somerville DO NOT VOTE IN T	HIS SPACE.

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN
WRITE-IN SPA

UNTY (TO FILL VACANCY)

PETER J. KOUTOUJIAN + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic

ERNESTO M. PETRONE ++++++++++Unenrolled

Vote for ONE

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is

present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

YES ←

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control: (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met. including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for par-